

Cycle lengths and minimum degree of graphs

Chun-Hung Liu* Jie Ma†

Abstract

There has been extensive research on cycle lengths in graphs with large minimum degree. In this paper, we obtain several new and tight results in this area. Let G be a graph with minimum degree at least $k + 1$. We prove that if G is bipartite, then there are k cycles in G whose lengths form an arithmetic progression with common difference two. For general graph G , we show that G contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive even lengths and $k - 3$ cycles whose lengths form an arithmetic progression with common difference one or two. In addition, if G is 2-connected and non-bipartite, then G contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive odd lengths.

Thomassen (1983) made two conjectures on cycle lengths modulo a fixed integer k : (1) every graph with minimum degree at least $k + 1$ contains cycles of all even lengths modulo k ; (2) every 2-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k + 1$ contains cycles of all lengths modulo k . These two conjectures, if true, are best possible. Our results confirm both conjectures when k is even. And when k is odd, we show that minimum degree at least $k + 4$ suffices. This improves all previous results in this direction. Moreover, our results derive new upper bounds of the chromatic number in terms of the longest sequence of cycles with consecutive (even or odd) lengths.

1 Introduction

The study of the distribution of cycle lengths is a fundamental area in modern graph theory, which has led to numerous results in abundant subjects. A common practice is investigating if certain graph properties, such as large average degree, large chromatic number, large connectivity, or nice expansion properties, are sufficient to ensure the existence of cycles of some particular lengths. In this article, all graphs are simple and we consider the distribution of cycle lengths in graphs with large minimum degree, aiming to understand the relation between cycle lengths and minimum degree in great depth.

One classical result in this direction is due to Dirac [11] in 1950s: every graph G with $n \geq 3$ vertices and with minimum degree at least $n/2$ contains a *Hamilton cycle* (i.e., a cycle passing through all vertices of G). Since then, there has been extensive research to investigate cycle lengths in graphs G with large minimum degree $\delta(G)$, where $\delta(G)$ responds on $|V(G)|$. To name a few, [1, 3, 7] are about the length of the longest cycle, [22] is about the existence of cycles with specified lengths, and [4, 5, 17, 21, 29, 30] are about the range of cycle lengths.

*Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA. Email: chliu@math.princeton.edu.

†School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China. Email: jiema@ustc.edu.cn. Partially supported by NSFC project 11501539.

However, it is more general if the minimum degree is independent with the number of vertices. Dirac [11] proved that every 2-connected graph with n vertices and minimum degree k contains a cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 2k\}$. Voss and Zuluaga [36] generalized this by proving that every 2-connected non-bipartite graph with n vertices and minimum degree k contains an even cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 2k\}$ and an odd cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 2k - 1\}$. Bondy and Vince [6] solved a question of Erdős by proving that if all but at most two vertices of G have degree at least three, then there are two cycles in G whose lengths differ by one or two. Häggkvist and Scott [24] proved that every connected cubic graph other than K_4 contains two cycles whose lengths differ by two.

Bondy and Vince's theorem was improved by several authors. Häggkvist and Scott [23] proved that every graph with minimum degree $\Omega(k^2)$ contains k cycles of consecutive even lengths. Verstraëte [35] improved this quadratic bound to be linear by proving that every graph with average degree at least $8k$ and even girth g contains $(g/2 - 1)k$ cycles of consecutive even lengths. In [31], Sudakov and Verstraëte further pushed the number of lengths of the cycles to be exponential: every graph with average degree $192(k+1)$ and girth g contains $k^{\lfloor(g-1)/2\rfloor}$ cycles of consecutive even lengths. Very recently, the second author [27] obtained an analogue for odd cycle: every 2-connected non-bipartite graph with average degree $456k$ and girth g contains $k^{\lfloor(g-1)/2\rfloor}$ cycles of consecutive odd lengths. On the other hand, without considering the parity of the cycles, Fan [19] obtained similar results with better minimum degree conditions by proving the following result. Every graph G with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 3k$ contains $k+1$ cycles C_0, C_1, \dots, C_k such that $|E(C_0)| > k+1$, $|E(C_i)| - |E(C_{i-1})| = 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq |E(C_k)| - |E(C_{k-1})| \leq 2$, and furthermore, if $\delta(G) \geq 3k+1$, then $|E(C_k)| - |E(C_{k-1})| = 2$. In the same paper [19], he also resolved a problem of Bondy and Vince [6] by showing that every 3-connected non-bipartite graph G with $\delta(G) \geq 3k$ contains $2k$ cycles with consecutive lengths $m, m+1, \dots, m+2k-1$ for some integer $m \geq k+2$.

To better understand the above results, we remark that in order to ensure two or more odd cycle lengths, 2-connectedness is necessary in addition to the non-bipartiteness. There exist infinitely many non-bipartite connected graphs with arbitrary large minimum degree but containing a unique odd cycle: for arbitrary t and odd s , let G be obtained from s disjoint copies of $K_{t,t}$ and an odd cycle C_s such that each $K_{t,t}$ intersects C_s in exactly one vertex.

1.1 Paths and cycles of consecutive lengths

Throughout the rest of this paper, k is a fixed positive integer, unless otherwise specified. We say that a sequence of paths or cycles H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k satisfies the *length condition* if $|E(H_1)| \geq 2$ and $|E(H_{i+1})| - |E(H_i)| = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. We also say that k paths or k cycles satisfy the length condition if they can form such a sequence.

In order to study cycles of consecutive (even or odd) lengths in graphs, we begin by considering paths in bipartite graphs. Our first theorem says that there exist optimal number of paths in bipartite graphs between two fixed vertices and satisfying the length condition.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a 2-connected bipartite graph and x, y distinct vertices of G . If every vertex in G other than x, y has degree at least $k+1$, then there exist k paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k from x to y in G with the length condition.*

We point out that this result is crucial to the proofs of all other results in this paper. The minimum degree condition in Theorem 1.1 is tight for infinitely many graphs, by considering

the complete bipartite graphs $K_{k,n}$ for all $n \geq k$, where x, y are two vertices in the part of size k .

The following theorem on cycles in bipartite graphs can be derived from Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a bipartite graph and v a vertex of G . If every vertex of G other than v has degree at least $k + 1$, then G contains k cycles with the length condition.*

An immediate corollary of Theorem 1.2 is that every bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k + 1$ contains k cycles with the length condition. The complete bipartite graphs $K_{k,n}$ for all $n \geq k$ also show the tightness of the minimum degree condition.

We then investigate cycle lengths in general graphs.

Theorem 1.3. *If the minimum degree of graph G is at least $k + 1$, then G contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive even lengths. Furthermore, if G is 2-connected and non-bipartite, then G contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive odd lengths.*

We see that Theorem 1.3 is tight, as the complete graph K_{k+2} has exactly $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ different even cycle lengths regardless of the parity of k , and it has exactly $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ different odd cycle lengths when k is even.

In the coming two theorems, we consider 3-connected and 2-connected non-bipartite graphs respectively.

Theorem 1.4. *If G is a 3-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k + 1$, then G contains $2\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive lengths.*

Theorem 1.5. *If G is a 2-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k + 3$, then G contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition.*

Theorem 1.4 improves a result of Fan [19], which was originally asked by Bondy and Vince [6]. Note that Bondy and Vince [6] constructed an infinite family of 2-connected non-bipartite graphs with arbitrarily large minimum degree but containing no two cycles whose lengths differ by one. So the connectivity condition in Theorem 1.4 cannot be lowered, and the conclusion for cycles with the length condition in Theorem 1.5 cannot be dropped. Moreover, every graph on at most $2k$ vertices does not have k cycle with the length condition. Hence, K_{2k} is an example showing that the conclusion for cycles with consecutive lengths in Theorem 1.5 also cannot be removed when $k \geq 4$. (But Theorem 1.3 ensures the existence of cycles with the length condition when $k = 2$.) Therefore, Theorem 1.5 cannot be further improved to require only cycles with consecutive lengths or only cycles with the length condition in general. By considering complete graphs of certain orders, we can see that the difference between the minimum degree conditions in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 and the optimal bounds is at most two.

The next result studies cycle lengths in general graphs, without assuming connectivity and bipartiteness.

Theorem 1.6. *If G is a graph with minimum degree at least $k + 4$, then G contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition.*

This improves some aforementioned results in [19, 35]. We direct readers to Section 6 for a discussion on the tightness of this theorem.

1.2 Cycle lengths modulo k

The study of cycle lengths modulo an integer k can be dated to Burr and Erdős (See [14]). They conjectured that there exists a constant c_k for each odd k such that every graph with average degree at least c_k contains cycles of all lengths modulo k . This conjecture was resolved by Bollobás in [2], where he proved that $c_k \leq 2[(k+1)^k - 1]/k$. Thomassen [32, 33] generalized this by showing that every graph G with minimum degree at least $4k(k+1)$ contains cycles of all lengths m modulo k , except when m is odd and k is even. Note that the exceptional case is needed, as when k is even and G is bipartite, there is no odd cycle in G and thus no cycle of odd length m modulo k . Thomassen [32] observed that K_{k+1} has no cycle of length 2 modulo k , and made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.7 (Thomassen [32]). *For every positive integer k , every graph with minimum degree at least $k+1$ contains cycles of all even lengths modulo k .*

Thomassen [32] also proved that there exists a function $\theta(k)$ for every k such that every 2-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $\theta(k)$ contains cycles of all lengths modulo k . Note that the same graphs defined before Section 1.1 show that 2-connectivity and non-bipartiteness are necessary conditions here (for even k).

Conjecture 1.8 (Thomassen [32]). *For every positive integer k , every 2-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k+1$ contains cycles of all lengths modulo k .¹*

It is known that the minimum degree $\Omega(k)$ suffices for both Conjectures 1.7 and 1.8. A theorem of Verstraëte [35] implies that for all k , every graph with average degree at least $8k$ contains cycles of all even lengths modulo k . For all odd k , a result of Fan [19] shows that minimum degree at least $3k-2$ suffices. Diwan [12] obtained a better bound for Conjecture 1.7 that for every positive integer k , every graph G with minimum degree at least $2k-1$ contains cycles of all even lengths modulo k , and every graph with minimum degree at least $k+1$ contains a cycle of length 4 modulo k . For Conjecture 1.8, a recent result of [27] about consecutive odd cycles implies that minimum degree $\Omega(k)$ is suffices to ensure the existence of cycles of all lengths modulo k .

Using our results in Section 1.1, we obtain several consequences on cycle lengths modulo k , which improve all previous bounds on Conjectures 1.7 and 1.8. In particular, the following theorem settles both Conjectures 1.7 and 1.8 for all even integers k .

Theorem 1.9. *Let k be a positive even integer. If G is a graph with minimum degree at least $k+1$, then G contains cycles of all even lengths modulo k . Furthermore, if G is 2-connected and non-bipartite, then G contains cycles of all lengths modulo k .*

The case for odd k seems more intricate than the case for even k . The next two theorems can be derived from Theorems 1.5 and 1.6, respectively.

Theorem 1.10. *Let k be a positive odd integer. If G is a 2-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k+3$, then G contains cycles of all lengths modulo k .*

¹It is quoted from [32] that “ K_{k+2} shows that $\theta(k) \geq k+2$. It is tempting to conjecture that equality holds.” Since K_{k+2} does contain cycles of all lengths modulo k , we believe that it meant to conjecture $\theta(k) = k+1$.

Theorem 1.11. *Let k be a positive odd integer. If G is a graph with minimum degree at least $k + 4$, then G contains cycles of all lengths modulo k .*

In other words, when k is odd, the difference between the minimum degree conditions of our results and the bounds of Thomassen's conjecture is at most three.

1.3 Cycles of consecutive lengths and chromatic number

The chromatic number and the length of cycles are also related. Diwan, Kenkre and Vishwanathan [13] conjectured that for every pair of integers m and k , if graph G has no cycle of length m modulo k , then the chromatic number of G is at most $k + o(k)$. This was resolved by Chen, Ma and Zang in a recent paper [8], where they also studied the relations between cycle lengths modulo k and chromatic number of digraphs.

Given a graph G , define $L_e(G)$ and $L_o(G)$ to be the sets of even and odd cycle lengths in G , respectively. We define $ce(G)$ and $co(G)$ to be the largest integers m and n , respectively, such that G contains m cycles of consecutive even lengths and n cycles of consecutive odd lengths. And we denote the largest integer ℓ by $c(G)$ such that G contains ℓ cycles of consecutive lengths.

We say that a graph G is k -chromatic if its chromatic number $\chi(G)$ equals k . It is well-known that every k -chromatic graph has a cycle of length at least k . In 1966, Erdős and Hajnal [18] provided an analogue that every k -chromatic graph has an odd cycle of length at least $k - 1$. Confirming a conjecture of Bollobás and Erdős, Gyarfás [20] generalized the result of Erdős and Hajnal by showing that every graph G satisfies $\chi(G) \leq 2|L_o(G)| + 2$. Mihok and Schiermeyer [28] proved that $\chi(G) \leq 2|L_e(G)| + 3$ for every graph G . Recently, Kostochka, Sudakov and Verstraëte [25] proved a conjecture of Erdős [15] that every triangle-free k -chromatic graph G contains at least $\Omega(k^2 \log k)$ cycles of consecutive lengths.

Using Theorem 1.3, we obtain a new upper bound of the chromatic number in terms of the longest sequence of consecutive even or odd cycle lengths.

Theorem 1.12. *For every graph G , $\chi(G) \leq 2 \min\{ce(G), co(G)\} + 3$.*

This strengthens the result of Mihok and Schiermeyer [28], as clearly $ce(G) \leq |L_e(G)|$. In addition, Theorem 1.12 is tight for the complete graphs on odd number of vertices, as $\min\{ce(K_{2k+3}), co(K_{2k+3})\} = k$.

Moreover, we show that the chromatic number can be bounded from above by the longest sequence of consecutive cycle lengths.

Theorem 1.13. *For every graphs G , $\chi(G) \leq c(G) + 4$.*

On the other hand, complete graphs show that $\chi(G) \geq c(G) + 2$.

1.4 Notation and organization

Let G be a graph and X a subset of $V(G)$. We denote the set of vertices not in X but adjacent to some vertex in X by $N_G(X)$, and we define $N_G[X] := N_G(X) \cup X$. If $X = \{x\}$, we simply write $N_G(x)$ and $N_G[x]$ instead. For a subgraph D of G , we define $N_G(D) := N_G(V(D))$ and $N_G[D] := N_G[V(D)]$. Often we drop the subscript when G is clear from context. For a vertex v of G , the *degree* of v , denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the number of edges in G incident with v , and we

define $d_X(v) := |N_G(v) \cap X|$. A vertex is a *leaf* in G if it has degree one in G . For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we denote the subgraph of G induced on $V(G) - S$ by $G - S$; for $S \subseteq E(G)$, we denote the graph $(V(G), E(G) - S)$ by $G - S$. When $S \subseteq V(G) \cup E(G)$ with $|S| = 1$, we write $G - S$ as $G - s$, where s is the unique element of S . When we identify a subset S of $V(G)$, we always delete all resulting loops and parallel edges to keep the graph simple.

A pair (A, B) of subsets of $V(G)$ is a *separation* of G of *order* k , if $V(G) = A \cup B$, $|A \cap B| = k$ and G has no edge with one end in $A - B$ and the other in $B - A$. A vertex v of a graph G is a *cut-vertex* if $G - v$ contains more components than G . A *block* B in G is a maximal connected subgraph of G such that there exists no cut-vertex of B . So a block is an isolated vertex, an edge or a 2-connected graph. An *end-block* in G is a block in G containing at most one cut-vertex of G . If D is an end-block of G and a vertex x is the only cut-vertex of G with $x \in V(D)$, then we say that D is an *end-block with cut-vertex* x . Let $\mathcal{B}(G)$ be the set of blocks in G and $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the set of cut-vertices of G . The *block structure* of G is the bipartite graph with bipartition $(\mathcal{B}(G), \mathcal{C}(G))$, where $x \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ is adjacent to $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ if and only if $x \in V(B)$. Note that the block structure of any graph G is a forest, and it is connected if and only if G is connected. For every positive integer k , we say that a graph G is *k -critical* if it has chromatic number k and every proper subgraph of G has chromatic number less than k .

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider paths in bipartite graphs and prove Theorem 1.1 by induction. We then apply Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 to obtain results about paths in general graphs, which will be heavily used later. In Section 4, we focus on cycles with the length condition and prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, from which we also derive Theorems 1.9 and 1.12. In Section 5, we first prove Theorem 5.2 on cycles of consecutive lengths, and then show how to derive the rest theorems mentioned in this section. Finally, we close the paper by mentioning some concluding remarks and open problems in Section 6.

2 Consecutive paths in bipartite graphs

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in this section. To simplify the arguments, we shall prove a more general (but indeed equivalent) result. For this purpose, we introduce the following important concepts. We say that (G, x, y) is a *rooted graph* if G is a graph and x, y are distinct vertices of G . The vertices x, y are called the *roots* of (G, x, y) . A rooted graph (G, x, y) is *bipartite* if and only if G is bipartite. The *minimum degree* of (G, x, y) is $\min\{d_G(u) : u \in V(G) - \{x, y\}\}$. We say that (G, x, y) is *2-connected* if

- G is a connected graph with $|V(G)| \geq 3$, and
- every end-block of G contains at least one of x, y as a non-cut-vertex.

Note that the block structure of G is a path if (G, x, y) is 2-connected. And x, y are in the same block of G if and only if G is 2-connected.

On the other hand, if G is 2-connected, then (G, x, y) is 2-connected for every pair of distinct vertices x, y . Therefore, Theorem 1.1 is an immediate corollary of the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (G, x, y) be a 2-connected bipartite rooted graph. For any positive integer k , if the minimum degree of (G, x, y) is at least $k + 1$, then there exist k paths in G from x to y satisfying the length condition.*

We shall prove Theorem 2.1 by induction on $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$. In the rest of this section, we define (G, x, y) to be a minimum counterexample (with respect to $|V(G)| + |E(G)|$). That is, (G, x, y) is a 2-connected bipartite rooted graph with minimum degree at least $k + 1$ such that G does not contain k paths from x to y satisfying the length condition; however, for any 2-connected bipartite rooted graph (H, u, v) with $|V(H)| + |E(H)| < |V(G)| + |E(G)|$ and for any positive integer r , if the minimum degree of (H, u, v) is at least $r + 1$, then there are r paths in H from u to v satisfying the length condition. By symmetry, we assume that

$$d_G(x) \leq d_G(y). \quad (1)$$

Throughout the rest of this section, we will exploit related properties of G and prove a series of lemmas, which will lead to the final contradiction and thus complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. We start by proving the following useful lemma.

Lemma 2.2. $|V(G)| \geq 4$, G is 2-connected, and $k \geq 3$.

Proof. If $|V(G)| = 3$, then (G, x, y) has minimum degree two, so $k = 1$ and the theorem follows. Hence $|V(G)| \geq 4$.

Suppose that G is not 2-connected. Then there exist a cut-vertex b and two connected subgraphs G_1, G_2 of G such that $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ and $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \{b\}$, where $x \in V(G_1) - b$ and $y \in V(G_2) - b$. Since $|V(G_1)| + |V(G_2)| = |V(G)| + 1 \geq 5$, by symmetry we may assume that $|V(G_1)| \geq 3$. So (G_1, x, b) is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least $k + 1$. By induction, there exist k paths P_1, \dots, P_k in G_1 from x to b with the length condition. Let P be a path in G_2 from b to y . Concatenating P with each P_i leads to k paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction. Therefore G is 2-connected.

Since G is 2-connected, Theorem 2.1 is obvious when $k = 1$. The case $k = 2$ can be derived by the following special case of [19, Corollary 3.1]: if H is a 2-connected (not necessarily bipartite) graph and every vertex of H other than two distinct vertices u, v has degree at least three, then H contains two paths R_1, R_2 from u to v such that $|E(R_1)| \geq 2$ and $1 \leq |E(R_2)| - |E(R_1)| \leq 2$. To see the implication for the case $k = 2$, just notice that G is bipartite and thus all paths in G from x to y are of the same parity, implying $|E(R_2)| - |E(R_1)| = 2$. This shows that $k \geq 3$. ■

Lemma 2.3. x and y are not adjacent in G .

Proof. Suppose that x is adjacent to y in G . Let $G' = G - xy$. Since G is 2-connected, every end-block of G' contains at least one of x, y as non-cut-vertex. Therefore, (G', x, y) is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least $k + 1$. The induction hypothesis implies that G' , and hence G , contains k paths from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction.

■

Lemma 2.4. $G - y$ has a cycle of length four containing x .

Proof. Suppose that x is not contained in any 4-cycle in $G - y$. Then $d_{N(x)}(v) \leq 1$ for every $v \in V(G) - \{x, y\}$.

Let G' be the graph obtained from G by contracting $N[x]$ into a new vertex x' . It is clear that G' is connected and bipartite, and the minimum degree of (G', x', y) is at least $k + 1$ in

G' . If G' is not 2-connected, then x' is the unique cut-vertex of G' . Let H be the block of G' containing x' and y . Note that $H = G'$ if G' is 2-connected.

Suppose that H is not an edge, then (H, x', y) is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least $k + 1$. By the induction hypothesis, H contains k paths P'_1, \dots, P'_k from x' to y with the length condition. So $G - x$ contains k paths P_1, \dots, P_k from $N_G(x)$ to y with the length condition. Let x_i be the end of P_i contained in $N_G(x)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$. By concatenating the edge xx_i with P_i for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, G contains k paths from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction.

Therefore, H is an edge, which together with Lemma 2.3 shows that $N_G(y) \subseteq N_G(x)$. By (1), $N_G(x) = N_G(y)$. We denote $N_G(x)$ by N .

Since $k \geq 3$ and G is bipartite, $V(G) \neq N \cup \{x, y\}$. So there exists a component D of $G - N$ not containing x and y . Since G is 2-connected, $|N_G(D)| \geq 2$. Fixing a vertex $x'' \in N_G(D)$, let G'' be the graph obtained from $G[N_G[D]]$ by identifying $N_G(D) - x''$ into a new vertex y'' . Since G is 2-connected and bipartite, (G'', x'', y'') is also 2-connected and bipartite. Since $d_N(v) \leq 1$ for every $v \in V(D)$, the minimum degree of (G'', x'', y'') is at least $k + 1$. By induction, there exists a sequence of k paths in G'' from x'' to y'' with the length condition. So $G - \{x, y\}$ contains k paths from N to N with the length condition. By adding an edge between x and N and an edge between y and N into each of these k paths, we can obtain k paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction. ■

The following notion is critical for the rest of the proof in this section. Let s be a positive integer. A complete bipartite subgraph Q of G with bipartition (Q_1, Q_2) is called an s -core if $x \in Q_2$, $y \notin V(Q)$, $|Q_1| \geq |Q_2| = s + 1$, and for every $v \in V(G) - (V(Q) \cup \{y\})$,

$$d_{Q_1}(v) \leq s + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{Q_2}(v) \leq s. \quad (2)$$

Since G is bipartite, every vertex $v \in V(G) - (V(Q) \cup \{y\})$ is adjacent to at most one of Q_1 and Q_2 , so $d_Q(v) = \max\{d_{Q_1}(v), d_{Q_2}(v)\} \leq s + 1$.

The next lemma is straightforward but will be frequently used. We omit the proof.

Lemma 2.5. *If Q is an s -core in G , then for every $u \in Q_1$ there exist $s + 1$ paths in Q from x to u with lengths $1, 3, \dots, 2s + 1$, respectively, and for every $v \in Q_2 - x$ there exist s paths in Q from x to v with lengths $2, 4, \dots, 2s$, respectively.*

Lemma 2.6. *G contains an s -core Q for some integer $s \geq 1$ such that the following hold. Let C be the component of $G - Q$ containing y . If G has an edge between C and $Q_2 - x$, then for every $v \in V(G) - V(Q \cup C)$, $d_{Q_1}(v) \leq s$ and thus $d_Q(v) \leq s$.*

Proof. Recall that y is not adjacent to x by Lemma 2.3. By Lemma 2.4 there exists a 4-cycle in $G - y$ containing x . Thus there exists a complete bipartite subgraph Q of $G - y$ with bipartition (Q_1, Q_2) such that $x \in Q_2$ and $|Q_1| \geq |Q_2| \geq 2$. Let C be the component of $G - V(Q)$ containing y . We further choose Q such that

- (a). $|Q_2|$ is maximum,
- (b). subject to (a), Q_1 is maximal, and
- (c). subject to (a) and (b), $|V(C)|$ is maximum.

Let $s = |Q_2| - 1$. We first prove that Q is an s -core, which suffices to show (2). Suppose to the contrary that there exists a vertex $v \in V(G) - (V(Q) \cup \{y\})$ satisfying that $d_{Q_1}(v) \geq s + 2$ or $d_{Q_2}(v) \geq s + 1$. If $d_{Q_1}(v) \geq s + 2$, then $|N_G(v) \cap Q_1| \geq s + 2 = |Q_2 \cup \{v\}|$, and $G[(N_G(v) \cap Q_1) \cup Q_2 \cup \{v\}]$ is a complete bipartite subgraph in $G - y$ with bipartition $(N_G(v) \cap Q_1, Q_2 \cup \{v\})$, contradicting (a). So $d_{Q_2}(v) \geq s + 1$, that is $Q_2 \subseteq N_G(v)$. Hence $(Q_1 \cup \{v\}, Q_2)$ is a complete bipartite subgraph of $G - y$, contradicting (b). Therefore Q is indeed an s -core.

Suppose that the lemma does not hold. So by (2), there exists a vertex $v \in V(G) - V(Q \cup C)$ such that $|N_G(v) \cap Q_1| = s + 1$. Assume that some vertex in C is adjacent to a vertex $z \in Q_2 - x$. Let $Q'_2 = Q_2 \cup \{v\} - \{z\}$, $Q'_1 = \{a \in V(G) : Q'_2 \subseteq N_G(a)\}$, and $Q' = G[Q'_1 \cup Q'_2]$. Since y is not adjacent to x in G , $y \notin Q'_1$ and thus $y \notin V(Q')$. Furthermore, $N_G(v) \cap Q_1 \subseteq Q'_1$, so Q' is a complete bipartite subgraph of $G - y$ containing x with $|Q'_1| \geq s + 1 = |Q'_2|$, which also satisfies (a) and (b). However, since v is in a component of $G - V(Q)$ different from C , the component of $G - V(Q')$ containing y contains C and z . This contradicts the choice of Q as it violates (c). This proves the lemma. ■

In the rest of this section, Q denotes the s -core mentioned in Lemma 2.6, and we let C be the component of $G - V(Q)$ containing y .

Next we study the situation when there is an edge between C and $Q_2 - x$. We will constantly use the following easy fact in the proofs: if A and B are two arithmetic progressions with common difference two, then the elements of the set $\{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ form an arithmetic progression of length $|A| + |B| - 1$ with common difference two.

Lemma 2.7. *If C is adjacent in G to some vertex $a \in Q_2 - x$, then the following hold.*

1. $G - V(C)$ does not contain k paths from x to a satisfying the length condition.
2. $G - V(C)$ does not contain $k - s + 1$ paths from Q_1 to Q_1 internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition.
3. $G - V(C)$ does not contain $k - s + 2$ paths from Q_1 to $Q_2 - \{x, a\}$ internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition.
4. $G - V(C)$ does not contain $k - s + 1$ paths from Q_1 to $\{x, a\}$ internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition.

Proof. Suppose that $G - V(C)$ contains k paths from x to a satisfying the length condition. Then concatenating each path with a fixed path in $G[V(C) \cup \{a\}]$ from a to y , we obtain k paths in G from x to y satisfying the length condition, a contradiction.

Suppose that $G - V(C)$ contains $k - s + 1$ paths $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-s+1}$ from Q_1 to Q_1 internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition. For each i , let $u_i, v_i \in Q_1$ be the two ends of P_i . Then $Q - \{v_i, a\}$ contains s paths from x to u_i with length $1, 3, \dots, 2s - 1$, respectively. By concatenating these s paths with P_i and the edge $v_i a$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k - s + 1$, we obtain k paths in $G - V(C)$ from x to a with the length condition, a contradiction.

Suppose that $G - V(C)$ contains $k - s + 2$ paths $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-s+2}$ from Q_1 to $Q_2 - \{x, a\}$ internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition. For each i , let $u_i \in Q_2 - \{x, a\}$ and $v_i \in Q_1$ be the ends of P_i . Then $Q - \{v_i, a\}$ contains $s - 1$ paths from x to u_i with

length $2, 4, \dots, 2s - 2$, respectively. By concatenating these $s - 1$ paths with P_i and the edge $v_i a$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k - s + 2$, we obtain k paths in $G - V(C)$ from x to a with the length condition, a contradiction.

Suppose that $G - V(C)$ contains $k - s + 1$ paths $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-s+1}$ from Q_1 to $\{x, a\}$ internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition. For each i , let $u_i \in Q_1$ and $v_i \in \{x, a\}$ be the ends of P_i . Then $Q - v_i$ contains s paths from u_i to $\{x, a\} - v_i$ with lengths $1, 3, \dots, 2s - 1$, respectively. Concatenating these s paths with P_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k - s + 1$, this gives rise to k paths in $G - V(C)$ from x to a with the length condition, a contradiction. ■

Lemma 2.8. *If C is adjacent in G to some vertex $a \in Q_2 - x$, then $N_G(Q_1) \subseteq Q_2 \cup V(C)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $N_G(Q_1) \not\subseteq Q_2 \cup V(C)$. Then there is a component D of $G - V(Q)$ other than C with $|N_G(D) \cap Q_1| \geq 1$. Since Q contains s paths from x to a with the length condition, $s \leq k - 1$ by Lemma 2.7.

Claim 1: If B is an end-block of D , then $N_G(B - b) \cap (Q_1 \cup \{x, a\}) \neq \emptyset$, where b is the cut-vertex of D contained in B .

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose to the contrary that $N_G(B - b) \cap V(Q) \subseteq Q_2 - \{x, a\}$. Since G is 2-connected, we have $|V(Q_2) - \{x, a\}| \geq 1$ and thus $s \geq 2$. Let G_1 be the graph obtained from $G[V(B) \cup (N_G(B - b) \cap V(Q))]$ by identifying $N_G(B - b) \cap V(Q)$ into a vertex x_1 . So (G_1, x_1, b) is 2-connected bipartite and has minimum degree at least $(k + 1) - (s - 2)$. By induction G_1 has $k - s + 2$ paths from x_1 to b with the length condition. There is a path in $N_G[D - V(B - b)]$ from b to Q_1 . So $G - V(C)$ has $k - s + 2$ paths from $Q_2 - \{x, a\}$ to Q_1 internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.7. □

Claim 2: $N_G(D) \cap \{x, a\} = \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose that $N_G(D) \cap \{x, a\} \neq \emptyset$. Let G_2 be the graph obtained from $N_G[D] - (Q_2 - \{x, a\})$ by identifying $N_G(D) \cap \{x, a\}$ into a vertex x_2 and identifying $N_G(D) \cap Q_1$ into a vertex y_2 . For every $v \in V(G_2) - \{x_2, y_2\}$, $d_Q(v) \leq s$ by Lemma 2.6, and v is adjacent to at most one of Q_1 and Q_2 . If v is not adjacent to Q_1 or Q_2 , then $d_{G_2}(v) \geq k + 1$; if v is adjacent to Q_1 , it is clear that $d_{G_2}(v) \geq (k + 1) - (s - 1)$; if v is adjacent to Q_2 but not to any one of x, a , then $d_Q(v) \leq s - 1$, implying that $d_{G_2}(v) \geq (k + 1) - (s - 1)$; otherwise v is adjacent to at least one of x, a , then $d_{G_2}(v) \geq (k + 1) - (s - 1)$. Therefore (G_2, x_2, y_2) has minimum degree at least $k - s + 2$. By Claim 1, every end-block of G_2 contains at least one of x_2, y_2 as a non-cut-vertex, so (G_2, x_2, y_2) is 2-connected and bipartite. By induction, G_2 contains $k - s + 1$ paths from x_2 to y_2 satisfying the length condition. So $G - V(C)$ contains $k - s + 1$ paths from $\{x, a\}$ to Q_1 internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.7. □

Claim 3: $|N_G(D) \cap Q_1| \geq 2$.

Proof of Claim 3. Suppose to the contrary that $|N_G(D) \cap Q_1| \leq 1$. By the choice of the component D , $N_G(D) \cap Q_1 = \{x_3\}$ for some vertex x_3 . Since G is 2-connected, Claim 2 implies that $|N_G(D) \cap (Q_2 - \{x, a\})| \geq 1$, so $s \geq 2$. Let G_3 be the graph obtained from $N_G[D]$ by identifying $N_G(D) \cap (Q_2 - \{x, a\})$ into a vertex y_3 . In view of Claim 2, every end-block of G_3 contains at least one of x_3, y_3 as a non-cut-vertex, so (G_3, x_3, y_3) is 2-connected and bipartite. For any $v \in V(G_3) - \{x_3, y_3\}$, if v is adjacent to Q_1 , then $d_{G_3}(v) = d_G(v) \geq k - s + 3$; otherwise $N_G(v) \cap Q \subseteq Q_2 - \{x, a\}$, also implying $d_{G_3}(v) \geq (k + 1) - (s - 2) = k - s + 3$. By induction,

G_3 contains $k - s + 2$ paths from x_3 to y_3 with the length condition. Hence, $G - V(C)$ contains $k - s + 2$ paths from Q_1 to $Q_2 - \{x, a\}$ internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.7. \square

Fix a vertex $x_4 \in N_G(D) \cap Q_1$. Claim 3 ensures that $N_G(D) \cap Q_1 - x_4 \neq \emptyset$. Let G_4 be the graph obtained from $G[N_G(D) - Q_2]$ by identifying $N_G(D) \cap Q_1 - x_4$ into a vertex y_4 . Recall Lemma 2.6 that $d_Q(v) \leq s$ for every $v \in V(D)$. For every $v \in V(G_4) - \{x_4, y_4\}$ adjacent in G to Q , if v is adjacent to Q_1 , then $d_{G_4}(v) \geq (k+1) - (s-1)$; otherwise v is adjacent to Q_2 , so $d_{G_4}(v) \geq (k+1) - (s-1)$ by Claim 2. Hence (G_4, x_4, y_4) has minimum degree at least $k - s + 2$. By Claims 1 and 2, every end-block of G_4 contains at least one of x_4, y_4 as a non-cut-vertex, so (G_4, x_4, y_4) is 2-connected and bipartite. By induction, G_4 contains $k - s + 1$ paths from x_4 to y_4 satisfying the length condition. So $G - V(C)$ contains $k - s + 1$ paths from Q_1 to Q_1 internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.7.

■

Lemma 2.9. *C contains at least two vertices, and no vertex of $C - y$ is a leaf in C.*

Proof. We first prove that no vertex of $C - y$ is a leaf in C . Suppose that C has a leaf $z \in V(C - y)$. If z is adjacent to Q_1 , by (2) we have $s+1 \geq d_{Q_1}(z) \geq k$, so by Lemma 2.5, there are k paths in $V(Q)$ from x to $N_G(z) \cap Q_1$ with lengths $1, 3, \dots, 2k-1$, respectively, which can be easily extended to k paths in G from x to y with the length condition. Hence z is adjacent to Q_2 . By Lemma 2.2 and (2), we have $s \geq d_{Q_2}(z) \geq k \geq 3$, so there is a vertex $a \in N_G(z) \cap Q_2 - x$. By Lemma 2.5, there are k paths in Q from x to a with the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.7.

It suffices to show that C has at least two vertices. We suppose for a contradiction that C consists of one vertex, i.e., $V(C) = \{y\}$.

Claim 1: $N_G(x) = N_G(y) = Q_1$ and $V(G) = V(Q \cup C)$.

Proof of Claim 1: If y is adjacent in G to a vertex $a \in Q_2 - x$, then $N_G(Q_1) \subseteq Q_2 \cup \{y\}$ by Lemma 2.8. Since G is bipartite, $N_G(Q_1) \subseteq Q_2$, so $s \geq k$. Then by Lemma 2.5, Q contains k paths from x to a with the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.7. Hence $N_G(y) \subseteq Q_1 \cup \{x\}$. But x is not adjacent to y , so $N_G(y) \subseteq Q_1 \subseteq N_G(x)$. By the assumption (1), the degree of x in G is at most the degree of y in G . This proves that $N_G(x) = N_G(y) = Q_1$.

Similarly, if $V(G) = V(Q \cup C)$, then $N_G(Q_1) = Q_2 \cup \{y\}$ and $s \geq k-1$. Let $z \in N_G(y) \cap Q_1$. By Lemma 2.5, Q contains $s+1 \geq k$ paths from x to z with the length condition, a contradiction. Therefore, $V(G) \neq V(Q \cup C)$. \square

Claim 2: $|Q_1| \geq 3$.

Proof of Claim 2: Suppose $|Q_1| \leq 2$, then $|Q_1| = 2$ and $s = 1$. Let $Q_1 = \{u, w\}$, $Q_2 = \{v, x\}$ and $G_1 = G - \{x, y\}$. Note that G_1 is connected. By Claim 1, $N_G(x) = N_G(y) = \{u, w\}$, so (G_1, u, w) has minimum degree at least $k+1$ in G_1 . If G_1 is 2-connected, then (G_1, u, w) is 2-connected. Otherwise, since G is 2-connected and $N_G(x) = N_G(y) = \{u, w\}$, u and w are in different end-blocks of G_1 ; since $u, w \in N_G(v)$, v is the cut-vertex of G_1 contained in both of the two end-blocks of G_1 . So (G_1, u, w) is 2-connected in either case. Therefore, by induction, G_1 has k paths from u to w satisfying the length condition. Concatenating them with xu, wy gives k path in G from x to y satisfying the length condition. \square

Let $u \in Q_1$ and $v \in Q_2 - x$ be fixed. Then any vertex in $G - \{u, v\}$ other than x, y has degree at least k in $G - \{u, v\}$. If $G - \{u, v\}$ is 2-connected, then $G - \{u, v\}$ contains $k-1$

paths from x to y with the length condition. Among these paths, let R be the longest one such that $w \in Q_1 - u$ is the end of $R - y$ other than x . These $k - 1$ paths from x to y together with the path $(R - y) \cup wwy$ are k paths in G from x to y with the length condition. Hence $G - \{u, v\}$ is not 2-connected and contains at least two end-blocks.

Suppose that there exists a component H of $G - \{u, v\}$ disjoint from $Q \cup C$. Since G is 2-connected, $(G[V(H) \cup \{u, v\}], u, v)$ is 2-connected bipartite and has minimum degree at least $k + 1$. So G contains k paths from u to v internally disjoint from $V(Q) \cup \{y\}$ with the length condition. By concatenating xu and vwy with each path, where w is a vertex in $Q_1 - u$, we obtain k paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction. Therefore, $G - \{u, v\}$ is connected.

By Claims 1 and 2, $G[V(Q \cup C)] - \{u, v\}$ is 2-connected. So there is an end-block B of $G - \{u, v\}$ with the cut-vertex b such that $(B - b) \cap ((Q \cup C) - \{u, v\}) = \emptyset$. Since $G - \{u, v\}$ is connected, there exists a path P in $G - \{u, v\}$ from b to some vertex $z \in V(Q \cup C) - \{u, v\}$ internally disjoint from $(B \cup Q \cup C) - \{u, v\}$. Note that $z \notin \{x, y\}$ as $N_G(x) = N_G(y) = Q_1$. So $z \in V(Q) - \{u, v, x\}$.

Note that $N_G(B - b) \subseteq \{u, v, b\}$. Suppose that $u \notin N_G(B - b)$. Then $(G[V(B) \cup \{v\}], v, b)$ is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least $k + 1$. So induction ensures that $G[V(B) \cup \{v\}]$ contains k paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k from v to b with the length condition. If $z \in Q_1$, let $P' = P \cup \{zy\}$; if $z \in Q_2$, fix a vertex $w \in Q_1 - u$ and let $P' = P \cup \{zw, wy\}$. So in either case P' is a path from b to y and internally disjoint from $B \cup \{u, v, x\}$. By concatenating P_i with xuv and P' for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, we obtain k paths in G from x to y with the length condition. Therefore, $u \in N_G(B - b)$ and hence $(G[V(B) \cup \{u\}], u, b)$ is 2-connected.

Since $(G[V(B) \cup \{u\}], u, b)$ is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least k , $G[V(B) \cup \{u\}]$ contains $k - 1$ paths from u to b with the length condition. By concatenating these paths with P , this gives a sequence of $k - 1$ paths R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{k-1} in $G - v$ from u to z internally disjoint from $V(Q \cup C)$ with the length condition. If $z \in Q_1$, then by Claim 2, there exists a vertex $w \in Q_1 - \{u, z\}$, and we let R_k be the path obtained from R_{k-1} by concatenating zvw . Then R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k form a sequence of k paths in $G - \{x, y\}$ from Q_1 to Q_1 with the length condition, which, by Claim 1, can be easily extended to k path in G from x to y with the length condition. Thus $z \in Q_2$. By Claim 2, there exist two distinct vertices $w, w' \in Q_1 - u$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, let R'_i be the path obtained from R_i by concatenating xu and zwy ; and let R'_k be the path obtained from R_{k-1} by concatenating xu and $zvvw'y$. Therefore, R'_1, R'_2, \dots, R'_k form a sequence of k paths in G from x to y with the length condition. This proves the lemma. ■

Lemma 2.10. *G has an edge between Q_1 and $C - y$.*

Proof. Note that $C - y \neq \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.9. Suppose to the contrary that $N_G(C - y) \cap Q_1 = \emptyset$.

We claim that $N_G(C - y) \cap (Q_2 - x) = \emptyset$. Otherwise, $C - y$ is adjacent to some vertex $a \in Q_2 - x$. By Lemma 2.8 and the assumption $N_G(C - y) \cap Q_1 = \emptyset$, it follows that $N_G(Q_1) \subseteq Q_2 \cup \{y\}$. So for some $u \in Q_1$, $N_G(u) \subseteq Q_2 \cup \{y\}$. This implies that $s \geq k - 1$, and if $s = k - 1$, then $uy \in E(G)$. If $s \geq k$, by Lemma 2.5, there are at least k paths in Q from x to a with the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.7. So $s = k - 1$ and thus $uy \in E(G)$. Again by Lemma 2.5, there are at least k paths in Q from x to u with the length condition. Concatenating them with uy gives k paths from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction. This proves that $N_G(C - y) \cap (Q_2 - x) = \emptyset$.

Therefore, $N_G(C - y) = \{x, y\}$. Since G is 2-connected, $(G[V(C) \cup \{x\}], x, y)$ is 2-connected bipartite and has minimum degree at least $k + 1$. By the induction hypothesis, G contains k paths from x to y satisfying the length condition. ■

Lemma 2.11. *G does not contain $k - s$ paths from y to Q_1 internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ with the length condition nor $k - s + 1$ paths from y to $Q_2 - x$ internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ with the length condition.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exist $k - s$ paths P_1, \dots, P_{k-s} in G from y to Q_1 internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition. For each $1 \leq i \leq k - s$, let $u_i \in Q_1$ be the end of P_i other than y . By Lemma 2.5, Q contains $s + 1$ paths from x to u_i with lengths $1, 3, \dots, 2s + 1$, respectively. Then concatenating these $s + 1$ paths with P_i for each $1 \leq i \leq k - s$ leads to k paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction.

Suppose to the contrary that there exist $k - s + 1$ paths R_1, \dots, R_{k-s+1} in G from y to $Q_2 - x$ internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition. For each $1 \leq j \leq k - s + 1$, let $v_j \in Q_2 - x$ be the end of R_j other than y . By Lemma 2.5, Q contains s paths from x to v_j with lengths $2, 4, \dots, 2s$, respectively. Then concatenating these s paths with R_j for each $1 \leq j \leq k - s + 1$ leads to k paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction.

■

We say that an end-block B of C is *feasible* if $y \notin V(B - b)$, where b is the cut-vertex of C contained in B .

Lemma 2.12. *$s = 1$, and C is not 2-connected. Moreover, if B is a feasible end-block of C with the cut-vertex b , then B is 2-connected and $N_G(B - b) = Q_2 \cup \{b\}$.*

Proof. Recall that C contains at least two vertices, and no vertex of $C - y$ is a leaf in C by Lemma 2.9. So every feasible end-block of C is 2-connected.

Claim 1: C is not 2-connected, and for each feasible end-block B of C with cut-vertex b , $N_G(B - b) \cap Q_1 = \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose to the contrary. So either C is 2-connected, or there is an end-block B of C with cut-vertex b such that $y \notin V(B - b)$ and $B - b$ is adjacent in G to Q_1 . In the former case, define $B' = C$ and $b' = y$, so $B' - b'$ is adjacent to Q_1 by Lemma 2.10; in the latter case, define $B' = B$ and $b' = b$, so $B' - b'$ is adjacent to Q_1 by the assumption. Note that there is a path P in C from b' to y internally disjoint from B' . Let $X = N_G(B' - b') \cap Q_1$ and define G_1 to be the graph obtained from $G[B' \cup X]$ by identifying X into a vertex x_1 . By (2), (G_1, x_1, b') has minimum degree at least $k + 1 - s$. Since (G_1, x_1, b') is 2-connected and bipartite, by induction G_1 has $k - s$ paths from b' to x_1 with the length condition. By concatenating with the path P , it is easy to obtain $k - s$ paths in G from y to Q_1 internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.11. □

Claim 1 implies that feasible end-blocks of C exist. Let B be an arbitrary feasible end-block of C , and let b be the cut-vertex of C contained in B .

Claim 2: $N_G(B - b) \cap (Q_2 - x) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose to the contrary that $N_G(B - b) \cap (Q_2 - x) = \emptyset$. By Claim 1 and the 2-connectivity of G , $N_G(B - b) = \{b, x\}$. Define $G_2 = G[V(B) \cup \{x\}]$. Since (G_2, x, b) is 2-connected bipartite and has minimum degree at least $k + 1$, G_2 has k paths from x to b

with the length condition. By concatenating them with a fixed path in $C - V(B - b)$ from b to y , we obtain k paths in G from x to y with the length condition. \square

Finally, we shall prove that $s = 1$ and $N_G(B - b) = Q_2 \cup \{b\}$. Suppose that either $s \geq 2$, or $s = 1$ but $N_G(B - b) \neq Q_2 \cup \{b\}$. Note that the latter case implies that $N_G(B - b) = \{b\} \cup (Q_2 - x)$ by Claims 1 and 2. Define G_3 to be the graph obtained from $G[V(B) \cup (Q_2 - x)]$ by identifying $Q_2 - x$ into vertex a' . Claim 2 implies that (G_3, a', b) is 2-connected and bipartite.

We show that every vertex $v \in V(G_3) - \{a', b\}$ has degree at least $k - s + 2$ in G_3 . Note that v has at most s neighbors in Q_2 by (2) and no neighbor in Q_1 by Claim 1. If $s \geq 2$ and v has at most $s - 1$ neighbors in Q_2 , then it is clear that $d_{G_3}(v) \geq (k + 1) - (s - 1)$. If $s \geq 2$ and v has exactly s neighbors in Q_2 , then at least one of them is in $Q_2 - x$ and thus $d_{G_3}(v) \geq (k + 1) - (s - 1)$. It remains to consider $s = 1$. In this case, as $x \notin N_G(B - b)$, it is easy to see that $d_{G_3}(v) \geq k + 1$. Therefore, (G_3, a', b) has minimum degree at least $k - s + 2$.

By induction, G_3 has $k - s + 1$ paths from a' to b with the length condition. Concatenating them with a fixed path in $C - V(B - b)$ from b to y , we can obtain $k - s + 1$ paths in G from y to $Q_2 - x$ internally disjoint from $V(Q)$ and satisfying the length condition, contradicting Lemma 2.11. ■

By Lemma 2.12, C has at least two end-blocks, but at most one of them contains y as a non-cut-vertex. So there is at least one feasible end-block of C . We also see that $Q_2 - x$ contains exactly one vertex from Lemma 2.12. In the rest of this section, we denote this vertex by a . Namely, $Q_2 = \{a, x\}$.

Lemma 2.13. *Let B be a feasible end-block of C with the cut-vertex b . For each vertex u in $Q_2 = \{a, x\}$, $G[V(B) \cup \{u\}]$ has $k - 1$ paths from u to b with the length condition.*

Proof. Define $G' = G[V(B) \cup \{u\}]$. So (G', u, b) is 2-connected and bipartite. By Lemma 2.12, (G', u, b) has minimum degree at least k . By induction, G' has $k - 1$ paths from u to b with the length condition. ■

We complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 in the coming last lemma of this section.

Lemma 2.14. *G is not a counterexample of Theorem 2.1.*

Proof. Define $N = N_G(Q_1) \cap V(C - y)$. Lemma 2.10 implies that $N \neq \emptyset$. Let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t be all feasible end-blocks of C , and let b_i be the cut-vertex of C contained in B_i for each i . Let C' be obtained from C by deleting $V(B_i - b_i)$ for all i . By Lemma 2.12 and the definition of feasible end-blocks, C' is connected and contains $N \cup \{y, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$.

Claim 1: There exists $c \in V(C')$ such that no path in $C' - c$ is from $N \cup \{y\}$ to $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose to the contrary that there exist two disjoint paths P_1, P_2 in C' from $N \cup \{y\}$ to $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$. Since C' is connected, we may assume that y is an end of one of P_1, P_2 , say P_1 , by rerouting paths. Denote the end of P_2 in N by w . By symmetry, we may without loss of generality assume that the ends of P_1, P_2 in $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$ are b_1 and b_2 , respectively. By Lemma 2.13, there exist a sequence of $k - 1$ paths R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{k-1} in $G[V(B_1) \cup \{a\}]$ from a to b_1 with the length condition and a sequence of $k - 1$ paths L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{k-1} in $G[V(B_2) \cup \{x\}]$ from x to b_2 with the length condition. Let $w' \in Q_1 \cap N_G(w)$. Since $k \geq 3$ by Lemma 2.2, for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$, the paths $L_i \cup P_2 \cup ww'a \cup R_j \cup P_1$

give rise to at least $2k - 3 \geq k$ paths in G from x to y satisfying the length condition, a contradiction. \square

Claim 2: There exists an end-block B_y of C with cut-vertex b_y such that $y \in V(B_y - b_y)$.

Proof of Claim 2. Otherwise, all end-blocks of C are feasible. By Claim 1, there exist a cut-vertex c of C' and two subgraphs C_1, C_2 of C' such that $C' = C_1 \cup C_2$ and $V(C_1) \cap V(C_2) = \{c\}$, where $N \cup \{y\} \subseteq C_1$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\} \subseteq C_2$. But C_2 contains all cut-vertices of C contained in some end-blocks of C , a contradiction. \square

Claim 3: For every $v \in V(C - y)$, either $d_Q(v) \leq 1$ or v is a cut-vertex of C separating y and all feasible end-blocks of C .

Proof of Claim 3. Suppose to the contrary that there exist a vertex $v \in V(C - y)$ with $d_Q(v) \geq 2$ and a feasible end-block B of C with cut-vertex b such that $C - v$ has a path L from y to b internally disjoint from B . Since $s = 1$ by Lemma 2.12, (2) ensures that v is adjacent to two distinct vertices in Q_1 , say u_1, u_2 . By Lemma 2.13, there exists a sequence of $k - 1$ paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} in $G[V(B) \cup \{a\}]$ from a to b with the length condition. Then $xu_1a \cup P_i \cup L$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ together with $xu_2vu_1a \cup P_{k-1} \cup L$ are k paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction. \square

Fix a feasible end-block B of C , and let b be the cut-vertex of C contained in B . By Lemma 2.13, there exists a sequence of $k - 1$ paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} in $G[V(B) \cup \{x\}]$ from x to b with the length condition. Concatenating them with a fixed path in C from b to b_y , we obtain a sequence of $k - 1$ paths R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{k-1} in $G[(V(C) \cup \{x\}) - V(B_y - b_y)]$ from x to b_y with the length condition.

Claim 4: B_y is an edge yb_y .

Proof of Claim 4. Suppose to the contrary that B_y is 2-connected. For every $v \in V(B_y) - \{y, b_y\}$, v is not a cut-vertex of C separating y and feasible end-blocks of C , so $d_Q(v) \leq 1$ by Claim 3. So (B_y, y, b_y) is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least k . By induction, B_y contains $k - 1$ paths from y to b_y with the length condition. Concatenating these $k - 1$ paths with R_i for each $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, we obtain $2k - 3 \geq k$ paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction. \square

Suppose that b_y is adjacent in G to a vertex $z \in Q_1$. If y is adjacent to Q_1 , then Claim 4 will force an odd cycle in G , a contradiction as G is bipartite. So $N_G(y) \subseteq Q_2 \cup \{b_y\}$. Since G is 2-connected and $xy \notin E(G)$, $N_G(y) = \{a, b_y\}$. Then $R_i \cup b_yy$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ together with $R_{k-1} \cup b_yzay$ form k paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction. Therefore, b_y is not adjacent to Q_1 , that is, $b_y \notin N$. Also by (2), $d_Q(b_y) \leq 1$.

Let W be a block of $C - y$ containing b_y . Since $d_Q(b_y) \leq 1$, we have $d_{C-y}(b_y) \geq k - 1 \geq 2$, so W is 2-connected. If $W = B_i$ for some i , then $V(C) = V(B_i) \cup \{y\}$, $b_y = b_i$, and b_y is adjacent to Q_1 by Lemmas 2.10 and 2.12, a contradiction. So W is not an end-block of C and thus $W \cup \{y\} \subseteq C'$.

Since W is 2-connected and $b_y \notin N$, Claim 1 implies that there exists a cut-vertex of C' separating $N \cup W \cup \{y\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t\}$. Hence $C - y$ has a cut-vertex separating W and all feasible end-blocks of C . Note that every cut-vertex of $C - y$ contained in W has a path to some feasible end-block of C internally disjoint from W . Therefore, W has the unique cut-vertex w of $C - y$.

For every $v \in V(W) - \{w, b_y\}$, since v is not a cut-vertex of C separating y and all feasible end-blocks of C , we have $d_Q(v) \leq 1$ by Claim 3. This together with $d_Q(b_y) \leq 1$ imply that $(G[V(W) \cup \{y\}], w, y)$ is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least k . By induction, there exists a sequence of $k - 1$ paths L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{k-1} in $G[V(W) \cup \{y\}]$ from w to y with the length condition. Recall the $k - 1$ paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} in $G[V(B) \cup \{x\}]$ from x to b . Let R be a path in C from b to w internally disjoint from $B \cup W \cup \{y\}$. Then for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$, the paths $P_i \cup R \cup L_j$ give rise to $2k - 3 \geq k$ paths in G from x to y with the length condition, a contradiction. ■

This proves Theorem 2.1, which implies Theorem 1.1.

3 Consecutive paths in general graphs

The following two lemmas extend Theorem 2.1 from bipartite graphs to general graphs, which will be extensively used in the coming sections for finding cycles.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (G, x, y) be a 2-connected rooted graph. If the minimum degree of (G, x, y) is at least $k + 1$, then G contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ paths from x to y satisfying the length condition.*

Proof. Let G' be a spanning bipartite subgraph of G with maximum number of edges. So for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, we have $d_{G'}(v) \geq \lceil d_G(v)/2 \rceil$. Hence, every vertex of G' other than x, y has degree at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1$. By the maximality, G' is connected.

Suppose that there exists an end-block B of G' such that $V(B - b) \cap \{x, y\} = \emptyset$, where b is the cut-vertex of G' contained in B . There exists a path P in $G - (B - b)$ from b to $\{x, y\}$ as G' is connected. Since (G, x, y) is 2-connected, there exist two disjoint paths in G from $V(B)$ to $\{x, y\}$ internally disjoint from $V(B)$. Rerouting these two paths by the path P , we can further obtain two disjoint paths P_1, P_2 in G from $V(B)$ to $\{x, y\}$ internally disjoint from $V(B)$ such that b is an end of P_1 or P_2 , say P_1 . We denote the end of P_2 in B by u . Every vertex in $V(B - b)$ has degree at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1$ in B , so B is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1$. By Theorem 1.1, B contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ paths from b to u with the length condition. By concatenating each of them with the paths P_1, P_2 , we obtain $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ paths in G from x to y satisfying the length condition.

Therefore, every end-block of G' contains at least one of x, y as a non-cut-vertex. So (G', x, y) is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1$, by Theorem 2.1 there exist $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ paths in G' (and hence in G) from x to y satisfying the length condition. ■

Lemma 3.2. *Let G a 2-connected graph and x, y, v be distinct vertices of G . If every vertex of G other than v has degree at least $k + 1$, then G contains $\lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$ paths from x to y satisfying the length condition.*

Proof. There is nothing to prove when $k \leq 2$, so we may assume that $k \geq 3$. Note that $G - v$ is connected and has minimum degree at least k . If $G - v$ is 2-connected, then it follows from Lemma 3.1. Hence we may assume that $G - v$ is not 2-connected. Then any end-block of $G - v$ is 2-connected and has a non-cut-vertex adjacent to v in G .

Let B be an arbitrary end-block of $G - v$, and let b be the cut-vertex of $G - v$ contained in B . Suppose that $|V(B - b) \cap \{x, y\}| = 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x \in V(B - b)$. By Lemma 3.1, B has $\lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$ paths from x to b with the length condition.

Concatenating those paths with a fixed path in $(G - v) - V(B - b)$ from b to y gives $\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor$ paths in G from x to y with the length condition. Therefore, $|V(B - b) \cap \{x, y\}| \in \{0, 2\}$.

Since $G - v$ is not 2-connected, there exists an end-block B' of $G - v$ with $V(B' - b') \cap \{x, y\} = \emptyset$, where b' is the cut-vertex of $G - v$ contained in B' . It follows that $N_G(B' - b') = \{b', v\}$. Since G is 2-connected, G has two disjoint paths P_1, P_2 from $\{x, y\}$ to $\{b', v\}$ and internally disjoint from B . Without loss of generality, we may assume that P_1 is from x to b' and P_2 is from y to v . Let u be a vertex in $B' - b'$ adjacent to v in G . By Lemma 3.1, B' has $\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor$ paths $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor}$ from b' to u with the length condition. Then $P_1 \cup R_i \cup uv \cup P_2$ for all i are $\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor$ paths in G from x to y with the length condition. This proves the lemma. ■

4 Cycles with the length condition

In this section, we consider cycles with the length condition. We first prove Theorem 1.2 in bipartite graphs. We restate Theorem 1.2 here for the convenience of readers.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a bipartite graph and v a vertex of G . If every vertex of G other than v has degree at least $k + 1$, then G contains k cycles with the length condition.*

Proof. Since there is nothing to prove when $k = 0$, we may assume that $k \geq 1$. We define a 2-connected end-block H of G and an edge $xy \in E(H)$ as following. If G is 2-connected, define $H = G$, $x = v$ and y to be any neighbor of x in G ; if G is not 2-connected, then define H to be an end-block of G such that $v \notin V(H - h)$, where h is the cut-vertex of G contained in H , and define $x = h$ and y to be any neighbor of x in H . In either case, we see that every vertex of H other than x has degree at least $k + 1$, and thus H is 2-connected bipartite with at least three vertices. By Theorem 1.1, H has k paths from x to y with the length condition. Note that each path has length at least two and thus does not contain the edge xy . By adding the edge xy , we then obtain k cycles in H (and hence in G) with the length condition. ■

Remark. From the above proof, it is easy to see that if G is 2-connected bipartite with minimum degree at least $k + 1$, then for every edge e of G , there are k cycles in G with the length condition, and all of those cycles contain e .

We then draw our attention to general graphs and prove Theorem 1.3, which provides optimal bounds for cycles of consecutive even lengths as well as consecutive odd lengths.

Theorem 1.3. *If the minimum degree of graph G is at least $k + 1$, then G contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive even lengths. Furthermore, if G is 2-connected and non-bipartite, then G contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive odd lengths.*

Proof. We may assume that $k \geq 2$, as the case $k = 1$ is trivial. Let G' be a spanning bipartite subgraph of G with the maximum number of edges, and let (A, B) be the bipartition of G' . If G' contains a vertex, say $v \in A$, of degree at most $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ in G' , then $(A - v, B \cup \{v\})$ will induce a bipartite subgraph of G with more edges than G' , a contradiction. So G' has minimum degree at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1$. By Theorem 1.2, G' (and hence G) contains $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles with the length condition. Note that each of those cycle has even length as G' is bipartite.

Now we assume that G is 2-connected and non-bipartite additionally. Note that by the maximality, G' is connected and bipartite with minimum degree at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1$. Suppose

that G' is 2-connected. Since G is non-bipartite, there exist two vertices x, y such that $xy \in E(G) - E(G')$. So both x, y are in the same part of the bipartition (A, B) . By Theorem 1.1, G' has $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ paths from x to y with the length condition. Since both of x, y are in the same part in the bipartition, each of these paths of G' has even length. By concatenating these paths with the edge xy , we obtain $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive odd lengths. Hence, G' is not 2-connected. Let H be an end-block of G' and h be the cut-vertex of G' contained in H . Every vertex of H other than h has degree at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor + 1 \geq 2$, so H is 2-connected. Since G is 2-connected, there exist $z \in V(H - h)$ and $w \in V(G) - V(H)$ such that $zw \in E(G) - E(G')$. By Theorem 1.1, H has $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ paths from z to h with the length condition, which, together with a fixed path in $G' - V(H - h)$ from h to w , give $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ paths in G' from z to w with the length condition. As $zw \in E(G) - E(G')$, z and w are in the same part in the bipartition, so each of those mentioned paths in G' from z to w has even length. By concatenating these paths with the edge zw , we obtain $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive odd lengths. This proves the theorem. ■

Remark. In fact, we can obtain $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive even lengths under a weaker condition that all vertices of G , but one, have degree at least $k + 1$. On the other hand, we do not know if this weaker condition can guarantee the existence of $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ consecutive odd cycles in Theorem 1.3.

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.3, we can derive Theorem 1.9, which proves Conjectures 1.7 and 1.8 when k is even.

Theorem 1.9. *Let k be a positive even integer. If the minimum degree of graph G is at least $k + 1$, then G contains cycles of all even lengths modulo k . Furthermore, if G is 2-connected and non-bipartite, then G contains cycles of all lengths modulo k .*

Theorem 1.3 also can be used to prove Theorem 1.12, which gives a tight relation between chromatic number and the number of cycles with the length condition.

Theorem 1.12. *For every graphs G , $\chi(G) \leq 2 \min\{ce(G), co(G)\} + 3$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\chi(G) \geq 3$, otherwise the theorem is easy. Let G' be a $\chi(G)$ -critical subgraph of G . Since G' is $\chi(G)$ -critical, G' is 2-connected non-bipartite and G' has minimum degree at least $\chi(G) - 1$. By Theorem 1.3, G' contains $\lfloor \chi(G)/2 \rfloor - 1$ cycles with consecutive even lengths and contains $\lfloor \chi(G)/2 \rfloor - 1$ cycles with consecutive odd lengths. Hence $\min\{ce(G'), co(G')\} \geq \lfloor \chi(G)/2 \rfloor - 1$. As every cycle in G' is a cycle in G , $\min\{ce(G), co(G)\} \geq \min\{ce(G'), co(G')\} \geq \lfloor \chi(G)/2 \rfloor - 1 \geq (\chi(G) - 1)/2 - 1$. This proves the theorem. ■

We conclude this section by proving a lemma about cycles with the length condition.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a 2-connected but not 3-connected graph. If the minimum degree of G is at least $k + 1$, then G contains $2\lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1$ cycles satisfying the length condition. Furthermore, if G is bipartite, then G contains $2k - 1$ cycles satisfying the length condition.*

Proof. If G is bipartite, let $t = k$; otherwise, let $t = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$. Hence, by Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1, for any subgraph G' of G , if (G', x, y) is 2-connected with minimum degree at least $t + 1$, then G' has t paths from x to y with the length condition. We shall prove that G contains $2t - 1$ cycles satisfying the length condition.

Since G is 2-connected but not 3-connected, there exists a separation (A, B) of G of order two. Let $A \cap B = \{u, v\}$. One can easily verify that each of $(G[A], u, v)$ and $(G[B], u, v)$ is a 2-connected rooted graph with minimum degree at least $k + 1$. Therefore, $G[A]$ has t paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t from u to v with the length condition, and $G[B]$ has t paths R_1, R_2, \dots, R_t from u to v with the length condition. Then $P_i \cup R_j$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ are $2t - 1$ cycles satisfying the length condition. ■

5 Consecutive cycles

We say that a cycle C in a connected graph G is *non-separating* if $G - V(C)$ is connected. The following lemma studies some property of non-separating odd cycle, which is a slight extension of [19, Lemma 3.4].

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a graph with minimum degree at least four. If G contains a non-separating induced odd cycle, then G contains a non-separating induced odd cycle C , denoted by $v_0v_1\dots v_{2s}v_0$, such that either*

1. *C is a triangle, or*
2. *for every non-cut-vertex v of $G - V(C)$, $|N_G(v) \cap V(C)| \leq 2$, and the equality holds if and only if $N_G(v) \cap V(C) = \{v_i, v_{i+2}\}$ for some i , where the indices are taken under the additive group \mathbb{Z}_{2s+1} .*

Proof. Let C be a shortest non-separating induced odd cycle in G . We denote $C = v_0v_1\dots v_{2s}v_0$. Let v be a non-cut-vertex of $G - V(C)$, and let $N_G(v) \cap V(C) = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_t}\}$ for some integers i_1, \dots, i_t with $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_t \leq 2s$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i_1 = 0$. For every $1 \leq j \leq t$, let C_j be the cycle $vv_{i_j}v_{i_j+1}\dots v_{i_{j+1}}v$. Since the minimum degree of G is at least four, every vertex in C has at least one neighbor in $G - v - V(C)$, implying that C_j is non-separating. If $i_{j-1} = i_j + 1$ for some j , then clearly C_j is a non-separating triangle and hence C is a triangle by the minimality. So we may assume that $i_{j+1} - i_j \geq 2$, for each j with $1 \leq j \leq t - 1$, and $(2s + 1) - i_t \geq 2$. If $t \geq 3$, then for some j the length of C_j is odd and less than the length of C . But C_j is induced and non-separating, a contradiction to the minimality of $|V(C)|$. So $t \leq 2$. When $t = 2$, by the minimality of $|V(C)|$, the unique even path in C from v_{i_1} to v_{i_2} has to be of length two. This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be a 2-connected graph containing a non-separating induced odd cycle. If the minimum degree of G is at least $k + 1$, then G contains $2\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive lengths.*

Proof. The theorem is obvious when $k \leq 2$. So we may assume that $k \geq 3$. By Lemma 5.1, there exists a non-separating induced odd cycle $C = v_0v_1\dots v_{2s}v_0$ in G satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 5.1. Throughout this proof, the subscripts will be taken in the additive group \mathbb{Z}_{2s+1} .

Claim 1: $s \geq 2$ and hence C is not a triangle.

Proof of Claim 1. Suppose to the contrary that C is a non-separating triangle $abca$. Let $G' = (G - c) - \{ab\}$. Since G is 2-connected, (G', a, b) is a 2-connected rooted graph with minimum degree at least k . By Lemma 3.1, G' contains a sequence of $\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor$ paths

$P_1, \dots, P_{\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor}$ from a to b satisfying the length condition. Then $P_i \cup ba$ and $P_i \cup bca$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor$ are $2\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive lengths. \square

So every non-cut-vertex v of $G - V(C)$ has $d_{G-V(C)}(v) \geq k-1$. Note that s is a generator of the additive group \mathbb{Z}_{2s+1} . For each $0 \leq i \leq 2s$, let $v'_i = v_{i+s}$ and $v''_i = v_{i+s+1}$. For any two vertices v_i, v_j in C , denote $C'_{i,j}$ and $C''_{i,j}$ to be the shorter and longer paths in C from v_i to v_j , respectively.

Claim 2: $G - V(C)$ is not 2-connected.

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose to the contrary that $G - V(C)$ is 2-connected. First assume that every vertex of $G - V(C)$ is adjacent in G to at most one vertex of C . Then every vertex $v \in V(G - C)$ has $d_{G-V(C)}(v) \geq k$. There exist distinct vertices $x, y \in V(G - C)$ such that $xv_0, yv_s \in E(G)$. By Lemma 3.1, $G - V(C)$ contains $\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor$ paths $Q_1, \dots, Q_{\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor}$ from x to y with the length condition. Note that $C'_{0,s}$ and $C''_{0,s}$ are two paths from v_0 to v_s of lengths $s, s+1$, respectively. So $v_0x \cup Q_i \cup yv_s \cup C'_{0,s}$ and $v_0x \cup Q_i \cup yv_s \cup C''_{0,s}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor$ are $2\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive lengths.

Hence we may assume that there exists some $u \in V(G - C)$ adjacent to two vertices of C in G . Without loss of generality, let $N_G(u) \cap V(C) = \{v_1, v_{2s}\}$, and let $w \in V(G - C)$ such that $wv_s \in E(G)$. Since $G - V(C)$ is 2-connected with minimum degree at least $k-1$, by Lemma 3.1, $G - V(C)$ contains a sequence of $\lfloor(k-2)/2\rfloor$ paths $R_1, \dots, R_{\lfloor(k-2)/2\rfloor}$ from u to w with the length condition. Observe that $C'_{1,s}$ and $C'_{s,2s}$ are two paths of lengths $s-1$ and s , respectively and internally disjoint from $\{v_0, v_1, v_{2s}\}$. Thus, $v_1u \cup R_i \cup wv_s \cup C'_{1,s}$ and $v_{2s}u \cup R_i \cup wv_s \cup C'_{s,2s}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor(k-2)/2\rfloor$ together with $v_1v_0v_{2s}u \cup R_{\lfloor(k-2)/2\rfloor} \cup wv_s \cup C'_{1,s}$ and $v_{2s}v_0v_1u \cup R_{\lfloor(k-2)/2\rfloor} \cup wv_s \cup C'_{s,2s}$ give $2\lfloor(k-2)/2\rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive lengths. \square

Let B be an end-block of $G - V(C)$ and b the cut-vertex of $G - V(C)$ contained in B . Every vertex in $B - b$ has degree at least $k-1 \geq 2$ in B , and so B is 2-connected.

Claim 3: There exists $x \in V(B - b)$ such that $N_G(x) \cap V(C) = \{v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}\}$ for some j .

Proof of Claim 3. Suppose not that every vertex in $B - b$ is adjacent in G to at most one vertex of C . Then every vertex $v \in V(B - b)$ has $d_B(v) \geq k$. If there exist $x \in V(B - b)$ and $y \in V(G - C) - V(B - b)$ such that $v_jx, v'_jy \in E(G)$ for some j , then by Lemma 3.1, B contains $\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor$ paths $P_1, \dots, P_{\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor}$ from x to b with the length condition. Let P be a path in $G - V(C) - V(B - b)$ from b to y . Also note that $C'_{j,j+s}$ and $C''_{j,j+s}$ are two paths in C from v_j to v'_j of lengths s and $s+1$, respectively. Then, $v_jx \cup P_i \cup P \cup yv'_j \cup C'_{j,j+s}$ and $v_jx \cup P_i \cup P \cup yv'_j \cup C''_{j,j+s}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor$ are $2\lfloor(k-1)/2\rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive lengths. Hence, we may assume that if v_j is adjacent to $V(B - b)$, then $N_G(v'_j) \cap V(G - C) \subseteq V(B - b)$. There is some vertex of C adjacent in G to $V(B - b)$, and s is a generator of \mathbb{Z}_{2s+1} , so we derive that $N_G(C) \subseteq V(B - b)$. This implies that b is a cut-vertex of G , but G is 2-connected, a contradiction. \square

Claim 4: $N_G(\{v'_j, v''_j\}) \cap V(G - C) \subseteq V(B - b)$.

Proof of Claim 4. Suppose not, by symmetry we may assume that $v'_jy \in E(G)$ for some $y \in V(G - C) - V(B - b)$. Since every vertex in $B - b$ has degree at least $k-1$, by Lemma 3.1, B contains $\lfloor(k-2)/2\rfloor$ paths $Q_1, \dots, Q_{\lfloor(k-2)/2\rfloor}$ from x to b with the length condition. Let Q be a fixed path in $G - V(C) - V(B - b)$ from b to y . Note that $C'_{j+1,j+s}, C'_{j-1,j+s}$ are two paths in C from v'_j to v_{j+1}, v_{j-1} with lengths $s-1, s$, respectively and internally disjoint from $\{v_{j-1}, v_j, v_{j+1}\}$. Then, $v_{j+1}x \cup Q_i \cup Q \cup yv'_j \cup C'_{j+1,j+s}$ and $v_{j-1}x \cup Q_i \cup Q \cup yv'_j \cup C'_{j-1,j+s}$

for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor (k-2)/2 \rfloor$, together with $v_{j+1}v_jv_{j-1}x \cup Q_{\lfloor (k-2)/2 \rfloor} \cup Q \cup yv'_j \cup C'_{j+1,j+s}$ and $v_{j-1}v_jv_{j+1}x \cup Q_{\lfloor (k-2)/2 \rfloor} \cup Q \cup yv'_j \cup C'_{j-1,j+s}$, are $2\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive lengths. \square

Since $d_{G-V(C)}(v'_j) \geq k-1 \geq 2$, there exists $z \in V(B) - \{b, x\}$ adjacent to v'_j . Every vertex of B other than b has degree at least $k-1$ in B . By Lemma 3.2, B has $\lfloor (k-3)/2 \rfloor$ paths $R_1, \dots, R_{\lfloor (k-3)/2 \rfloor}$ from x to z with the length condition. Then, $v_{j+1}x \cup R_i \cup zv'_j \cup C'_{j+1,j+s}$ and $v_{j-1}x \cup R_i \cup zv'_j \cup C'_{j-1,j+s}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor (k-3)/2 \rfloor$, together with $v_{j+1}v_jv_{j-1}x \cup R_{\lfloor (k-3)/2 \rfloor} \cup zv'_j \cup C'_{j+1,j+s}$ and $v_{j-1}v_jv_{j+1}x \cup R_{\lfloor (k-3)/2 \rfloor} \cup zv'_j \cup C'_{j-1,j+s}$, are $\lfloor (k-1)/2 \rfloor$ cycles in G with consecutive lengths. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2. \blacksquare

Now we are ready to prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

Theorem 1.4. *If G is a 3-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k+1$, then G contains $2\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor$ cycles with consecutive lengths.*

Proof. It was proved by several groups (see [6,34]) that every 3-connected non-bipartite graph contains a non-separating induced odd cycle. This, together with Theorem 5.2, immediately imply this theorem. \blacksquare

Theorem 1.5. *If G is a 2-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k+3$, then G contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition.*

Proof. If G is 3-connected, then by Theorem 1.4, G contains $2\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor \geq k$ cycles with consecutive lengths. Otherwise G is 2-connected but not 3-connected, by Lemma 4.1, G contains $2\lfloor (k+2)/2 \rfloor - 1 \geq k$ cycles with the length condition. \blacksquare

From this result, we can prove Theorem 1.10 promptly.

Theorem 1.10. *Let k be a positive odd integer. If G is a 2-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k+3$, then G contains cycles of all lengths modulo k .*

Proof. By Theorem 1.5, G contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition. Since k is odd, in either case, the set of these cycle lengths intersect each of the residue classes modulo k . \blacksquare

The following theorem will be used for proving Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 5.3. *Let G be a 2-connected graph and v a vertex of G . If every vertex of G other than v has degree at least $k+4$, then G contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or with the length condition.*

Proof. Let $G' = G - \{v\}$. So G' has minimum degree at least $k+3$. If G' is bipartite, then G' contains $k+2$ cycles with the length condition by Theorem 1.2. So we may assume that G' is non-bipartite.

If G' is 2-connected, then by Theorem 1.5, G' contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition. So we may assume that G' is not 2-connected. Note that the minimum degree of G' is at least $k+3$, so every end-block of G' is 2-connected.

Since G is 2-connected, G' contains two end-blocks B_1, B_2 such that for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, $B_i - b_i$ contains a vertex v_i adjacent in G to v , where b_i is the cut-vertex of G' contained in

B_i . By Lemma 3.1, for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, B_i contains $\lfloor (k+2)/2 \rfloor$ paths $P_{i,1}, \dots, P_{i,\lfloor (k+2)/2 \rfloor}$ from b_i to v_i with the length condition. Let R be a path in G' from b_1 to b_2 internally disjoint from $V(B_1) \cup V(B_2)$. Then for $1 \leq j, j' \leq \lfloor (k+2)/2 \rfloor$, $P_{1,j} \cup R \cup P_{2,j'} \cup v_2vv_1$ are $2\lfloor (k+2)/2 \rfloor - 1 \geq k$ cycles in G with the length condition. ■

Theorem 1.6. *If G is a graph with minimum degree at least $k+4$, then G contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition.*

Proof. Let B be an end-block of G and let b be the cut-vertex of G contained in B . Every vertex of B other than b has minimum degree at least $k+4$ and hence B is 2-connected. By Theorem 5.3, B (and hence G) contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or with the length condition. ■

It is straightforward to obtain Theorem 1.11 from Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 1.11. *Let k be a positive odd integer. If G is a graph with minimum degree at least $k+4$, then G contains cycles of all lengths modulo k .*

Proof. By Theorem 1.6, G contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition. Since k is odd, in either case, the set of these cycle lengths intersect each of the residue classes modulo k . ■

Lastly, we derive Theorem 1.13 from Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 1.13. *For every graphs G , $\chi(G) \leq c(G) + 4$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a graph G with $\chi(G) \geq c(G) + 5$. Let G' be a $\chi(G)$ -critical subgraph of G . Note that G' is 2-connected and has minimum degree at least $\chi(G) - 1 \geq c(G) + 4$. A result of Krusenstjerna-Hafstrøm and Toft (see [26], Theorem 4) states that every 4-critical graph contains a non-separating induced odd cycle, but in fact their proof also works for k -critical graph for every $k \geq 4$. (We direct interested readers to the original proof in [26].) Thus, G' also contains a non-separating induced odd cycle. By Theorem 5.2, G' contains $2\lfloor \frac{c(G)+2}{2} \rfloor \geq c(G) + 1$ consecutive cycles. However, every cycle in G' is a cycle in G' , so $c(G) \geq c(G') \geq c(G) + 1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. ■

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have obtained several tight or nearly tight results on the relation between cycle lengths and minimum degree. It will be interesting if one can close the gap between our results and the best possible upper bounds, such as in Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. A good starting point may be the following strengthening of Theorem 1.3.

Conjecture 6.1. *If G is a 2-connected non-bipartite graph with minimum degree at least $k+1$, then G contains $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ cycles with consecutive odd lengths.*

If it is true, then one can prove $\chi(G) \leq 2co(G) + 2$ as in Theorem 1.12.

In Theorem 1.6, we prove that every graph G with $\delta(G) \geq k+4$ contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition. The following examples show that the bound $\delta(G) \geq k+4$ is tight up to the constant term: the complete graph K_{k+2} has precisely k cycles

of consecutive lengths $3, 4, \dots, k+2$, while for every $n \geq k+1$ the complete bipartite graph $K_{k+1,n}$ has precisely k cycles of consecutive even lengths $4, 6, \dots, 2k+2$. All such graphs have minimum degree $k+1$, and thus we conjecture that $\delta(G) \geq k+1$ is optimal.

Conjecture 6.2. *Every graph with minimum degree at least $k+1$ contains k cycles with consecutive lengths or the length condition.*

If true, this would imply both Conjectures 1.7 and 1.8 when k is odd, and thus, together with Theorems 1.9, imply these conjectures in full generality.

Our results show that if a graph G has $\delta(G) \geq k+4$ (and satisfies some necessary conditions), then G contains cycles of all lengths modulo k . This is tight up to the constant term. However, for fixed integer m , we know very little about the least function $f(m, k)$ such that every graph G with $\delta(G) \geq f(m, k)$ contains a cycle of length m modulo k . (If k is even and m is odd, then one has to restrict to 2-connected non-bipartite graphs G here.) A conjecture of Dean (see [10]) considered the case when $m = 0$, which asserted that every k -connected graph contains a cycle of length 0 modulo k . Note that this (if true) is best possible for odd k , as for every $n \geq k-1$, $K_{k-1,n}$ is $(k-1)$ -connected but has no cycles of length 0 modulo k . Dean's conjecture was confirmed for $k = 3$ in [9] and $k = 4$ in [10]. Another interesting special case is $m = 3$ (for the sake of convenience, let k be odd). So $f(3, k)$ becomes the least function such that every triangle-free graph G with minimum degree $f(3, k)$ contains a cycle of length 3 modulo k . We speculate that $f(3, k) = o(k)$. This may be related to the recent result of [25].

Despite much research has been done, the distribution of cycle lengths in graphs with large minimum degree is still mysterious and unclear. We conclude this paper by mentioning a conjecture of Erdős and Gyárfás [16]: every graph with minimum degree at least three contains a cycle of length a power of two.

References

- [1] N. Alon, The largest cycle of a graph with a large minimal degree, *J. Graph Theory* **10** (1986), 123–127.
- [2] B. Bollobás, Cycles modulo k , *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1977), 97–98.
- [3] B. Bollobás and R. Häggkvist, The circumference of a graph with given minimal degree, *A tribute to Paul Erdős* (A. Baker, B. Bollobás and A. Hajnal eds.), Cambridge University Press 1989.
- [4] J. A. Bondy, Pancyclic graphs I, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **11** (1971), 80–84.
- [5] J. A. Bondy and M. Simonovits, Cycles of even length in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **16** (1974), 97–105.
- [6] J. A. Bondy and A. Vince, Cycles in a graph whose lengths differ by one or two, *J. Graph Theory* **27** (1998), 11–15.
- [7] S. Brandt, R. Faudree, W. Goddard, Weakly pancyclic graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **27** (1998), 141–176.

- [8] Z. Chen, J. Ma and W. Zang, Coloring digraphs with forbidden cycles, *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, to appear. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2015.06.001>
- [9] G. Chen and A. Saito, Graphs with a cycle of length divisible by three, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **60** (1994), 277–292.
- [10] N. Dean, L. Lesniak and A. Saito, Cycles of length 0 modulo 4 in graphs, *Discrete Math.* **121** (1993), 37–49.
- [11] G. A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **2** (1952), 69–81.
- [12] A. Diwan, Cycles of even lengths modulo k, *J. Graph Theory* **65** (2010), 246–252.
- [13] A. Diwan, S. Kenkre and S. Vishwanathan, Circumference, chromatic number and online coloring, *Combinatorica* **33** (2013), 319–334.
- [14] P. Erdős, Some recent problems and results in graph theory, combinatorics, and number theory, *Proc. Seventh S-E Conf. Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Utilitas Math.*, Winnipeg, 1976, pp. 3–14.
- [15] P. Erdős, Some of my favourite problems in various branches of combinatorics, *Matematiche (Catania)* **47** (1992), 231–240.
- [16] P. Erdős, Some of my favorite solved and unsolved problems in graph theory, *Quaestiones Math.* **16** (1993), 333–350.
- [17] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, A. Gyárfás and R. Schelp, Odd cycles in graphs of given minimum degree, In *Graph Theory, Combinatorics, and Applications*, Vol. 1 (Kalamazoo, MI, 1988), Wiley-Interscience Publications, Wiley, New York, 1991, pp. 407–418.
- [18] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On chromatic numbers of graphs and set systems, *Acta Math. Sci. Hungar.* **17** (1966), 61–99.
- [19] G. Fan, Distribution of cycle lengths in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **84** (2002), 187–202.
- [20] A. Gyárfás, Graphs with k odd cycle lengths, *Discrete Math.* **103** (1992), 41–48.
- [21] R. Gould, P. Haxell and A. Scott, A note on cycle lengths in graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **18** (2002), 491–498.
- [22] R. Häggkvist, Odd cycles of specified length in nonbipartite graphs, *Graph Theory (Cambridge, 1981)*, North-Holland Math. Stud., 62, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, 1982, pp. 89–99.
- [23] R. Häggkvist and A. Scott, Arithmetic progressions of cycles, *Technical Report* No. 16 (1998), Matematiska Institutionen, Umeå Universitet.
- [24] R. Häggkvist and A. Scott, Cycles of nearly equal length in cubic graphs, Preprint.

- [25] A. Kostochka, B. Sudakov and J. Verstraëte, Cycles in triangle-free graphs of large chromatic number, Submitted. arXiv:1404.4544 [math.CO]
- [26] U.Krusenstjerna-Hafstrøm and B.Toft, Special subdivisions of K_4 and 4-chromatic graphs, *Monatsh. Math.* **89** (1980), 101–110.
- [27] J. Ma, Cycles with consecutive odd lengths, arXiv:1410.0430, submitted.
- [28] P. Mihók and I. Schiermeyer, Cycle lengths and chromatic number of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **286** (2004), 147–149.
- [29] V. Nikiforov and R. Schelp, Paths and cycles in graph of large minimal degree, *J. Graph Theory* **47** (2004), 39–52.
- [30] V. Nikiforov and R. Schelp, Cycle lengths in graphs with large minimum degree, *J. Graph Theory* **52** (2006), 157–170.
- [31] B. Sudakov and J. Verstraëte, Cycle lengths in sparse graphs, *Combinatorica* **28** (2008), 357–372.
- [32] C. Thomassen, Graph decomposition with applications to subdivisions and path systems modulo k, *J. Graph Theory* **7** (1983), 261–271.
- [33] C. Thomassen, Paths, circuits and subdivisions, *Selected Topics in Graph Theory (L. Beineke and R. Wilson, eds.)*, vol. 3, Academic Press, 1988, pp. 97–131.
- [34] C. Thomassen and B. Toft, Non-separating induced cycles in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **31** (1981), 199–224.
- [35] J. Verstraëte, On arithmetic progressions of cycle lengths in graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **9** (2000), 369–373.
- [36] H. Voss and C. Zuluaga, Maximale gerade und ungerade Kreise in Graphen I (German), *Wiss. Z. Techn. Hochsch. Ilmenau* **23** (1977), 57–70.